

### Community College Survey of Student Engagement

Florida State College at Jacksonville

2012 Key Findings

### **Table of Contents**

Key Findings: A Starting Point
Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice
Aspects of Highest Student Engagement
Aspects of Lowest Student Engagement
2012 CCSSE Special-Focus Items
CCFSSE



# Key Findings: A Starting Point

The Key Findings report provides an entry point for reviewing results from your administration of the 2012 Community College Survey of Student Engagement (*CCSSE*). The report provides college-specific data in an easy-to-share format including benchmark comparisons between the college, top-performing colleges, and the *CCSSE* cohort. It also highlights aspects of highest and lowest student engagement at the college, as well as results from five of the *CCSSE* special-focus items on promising educational practices. Select faculty survey data are also highlighted.


### **Promising Practices for Student Success**

In each annual administration, *CCSSE* has included special-focus items to allow participating colleges and national researchers to delve more deeply into areas of student experience and institutional performance of great interest to the field. The 2012 special-focus items are part of an ongoing national research project focused on community college students' participation in a defined collection of promising practices for which there is emerging evidence of effectiveness in strengthening student learning, persistence, and attainment. This work will link data from the 2012 *CCSSE* special-focus items; related items on the 2012 faculty survey (*CCFSSE*), which explore the extent of faculty members' use of the identified promising practices in their teaching; and institutional data collected from the new Community College Institutional Survey (CCIS) that address questions about how these promising practices are implemented across varied institutions. Additionally, a corresponding special-focus module will be included in the 2012 administration of the Survey of Entering Student Engagement (*SENSE*).

This data collection will provide empirical confirmation of promising educational practices in community colleges; quantification of the extent to which those practices are part of the current experience of our students; and information about whether participation in these types of practices varies across subgroups of students. Ongoing data analysis will provide new evidence of how student participation in these practices is related to overall student engagement, academic progress, and college completion.

### **Benchmark Overview by Enrollment Status**

Figure 1 below represents your institution's CCSSE benchmark scores by students' enrollment status.



Full-time students

Figure 1



## **Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice**

The *CCSSE* benchmarks are groups of conceptually related survey items that address key areas of student engagement. The five benchmarks denote areas that educational research has shown to be important to students' college experiences and educational outcomes. Therefore, they provide colleges with a useful starting point for looking at institutional results and allow colleges to gauge and monitor their performance in areas that are central to their work. In addition, participating colleges have the opportunity to make appropriate and useful comparisons between their performance and that of other groups of colleges.

Performing as well as the national average or a peer-group average may be a reasonable initial aspiration, but it is important to recognize that these averages are sometimes unacceptably low. Aspiring to match and then exceed highperformance targets is the stronger strategy.

Community colleges can differ dramatically on such factors as size, location, resources, enrollment patterns, and student characteristics. It is important to take these differences into account when interpreting benchmark scores-especially when making institutional comparisons. The Center for Community College Student Engagement has adopted the policy "Responsible Uses of CCSSE and SENSE Data," available at www.cccse.org.

CCSSE uses a three-year cohort of participating colleges in all core survey analyses. The current cohort is referred to as the 2012 CCSSE Cohort (2010-2012) throughout all reports.

### **CCSSE** Benchmarks

#### ★ Active and Collaborative Learning

Students learn more when they are actively involved in their education and have opportunities to think about and apply what they are learning in different settings. Through collaborating with others to solve problems or master challenging content, students develop valuable skills that prepare them to deal with real-life situations and problems.

#### ★ Student Effort

Students' own behaviors contribute significantly to their learning and the likelihood that they will successfully attain their educational goals.

#### ★ Academic Challenge

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. These survey items address the nature and amount of assigned academic work, the complexity of cognitive tasks presented to students, and the rigor of examinations used to evaluate student performance.


#### Student-Faculty Interaction

In general, the more contact students have with their teachers, the more likely they are to learn effectively and to persist toward achievement of their educational goals. Through such interactions, faculty members become role models, mentors, and guides for continuous, lifelong learning.

#### ★ Support for Learners

Students perform better and are more satisfied at colleges that provide important support services, cultivate positive relationships among groups on campus, and demonstrate commitment to their success.

For further information about CCSSE benchmarks, please visit www.cccse.org.



\*Top-Performing colleges are those that scored in the top 10 percent of the cohort by benchmark. Notes: Benchmark scores are standardized to have a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 25 across all respondents. For further information about how benchmarks are computed, please visit www.cccse.org.




## Aspects of Highest Student Engagement

Benchmark scores provide a manageable starting point for reviewing and understanding *CCSSE* data. One way to dig more deeply into the benchmark scores is to analyze those items that contribute to the overall benchmark score. This section features the five items across all benchmarks (excluding those for which means are not calculated) on which the college scored highest and the five items on which the college scored lowest in comparison to the 2012 *CCSSE* Cohort.

The items highlighted on pages 4 and 5 reflect the largest differences in mean scores between the institution and the the 2012 *CCSSE* Cohort. While examining these data, keep in mind that the selected items may not be those that are most closely aligned with the college's goals; thus, it is important to review all institutional reports on the *CCSSE* online reporting system at www.cccse.org.

Figure 3 displays the aggregated frequencies for the items on which the college performed most favorably compared with the 2012 *CCSSE* Cohort. For instance, 34.8% of Florida State College at Jacksonville students, compared with 30.6% of other students in the cohort, responded *often* or *very often* on item 4b.



able 1			2012 CCSSE Cohort
	Benchmark	ltem Number	Item
	Active and Collaborative Learning	4b	Made a class presentation
	Active and Collaborative Learning	4g	Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments
	Student-Faculty Interaction	4k	Used email to communicate with an instructor
	Student-Faculty Interaction	41	Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor
	Student Effort	13d1	Frequency: Peer or other tutoring

#### Notes:


For Item(s) 4, often and very often responses are combined.

For Item(s) 13, sometimes and often responses are combined.



## Aspects of Lowest Student Engagement

Figure 4 displays the aggregated frequencies for the items on which the college performed least favorably compared with the 2012 *CCSSE* Cohort. For instance, 50.4% of Florida State College at Jacksonville students, compared with 56.7% of other students in the cohort, responded 5 to 10, 11 to 20, or *more than 20* on item 6a.



#### Table 2

Benchmark	ltem Number	Item
Academic Challenge	6a	Number of assigned textbooks, manuals, books, or book-length packs of course readings
Support For Learners	9f	Providing the financial support you need to afford your education
Student Effort	10a	Preparing for class (studying, reading, writing, rehearsing, doing homework, or other activities related to your program)
Support For Learners	13b1	Frequency: Career counseling
Student Effort	13h1	Frequency: Computer lab

#### Notes:


For Item(s) 6, 5 to 10, 11 to 20, and more than 20 responses are combined.
For Item(s) 9, quite a bit and very much responses are combined.
For Item(s) 10, 11 to 20, 21-30, and more than 30 hours responses are combined.
For Item(s) 13, sometimes and often responses are combined.



## 2012 CCSSE Special-Focus Items

The Center adds special-focus items to *CCSSE* each year to augment the core survey, helping participating colleges and the field at large to further explore fundamental areas of student engagement. The 2012 special-focus items continue to elicit new information about students' experiences associated with promising educational practices such as early registration, orientation, freshman seminars, organized learning communities, and student success courses. Frequency results from the first five promising practices items for your college and the 2012 *CCSSE* promising practices respondents are displayed across pages 6 and 7.

Figure 5: During the current term at this college, I completed registration before the first class sessions(s).








Figure 7: During my first term at this college, I participated in a structured experience for new students (sometimes called a "freshman seminar" or "first-year experience"). Florida State College at Jacksonville 2012 Respondents 70.5% 78.8% 2.5% 3.3% 2.8% 4.3% 15.9% 21.9% Yes, in my first term at this college Yes, in my first AND in at least one other term at this college Yes, but NOT in my first term at this college No, I did not Figure 8: During my first term at this college, I enrolled in an organized "learning community" (two or more courses that a group of students take together). 2012 Respondents Florida State College at Jacksonville 84.5% 88.4% 1.9% 3.4% 2.5% 7.1% 4.1% 7.9% Yes, in my first term at this college Yes, in my first AND in at least one other term at this college Yes, but NOT in my first term at this college No, I did not Figure 9: During my first term at this college, I enrolled in a student success course (such as a student development, extended orientation, study skills, student life skills, or college success course). Florida State College at Jacksonville 2012 Respondents 54.7% 74.9% 3.6% 3.5% 5.3% 6.8% 16.2%

Yes, in my first term at this college

No, I did not

Yes, but NOT in my first term at this college

Yes, in my first AND in at least one other term at this college

34.9%



### CCFSSE

The Community College Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (*CCFSSE*), designed as a companion survey to *CCSSE*, elicits information from faculty about their teaching practices; the ways they spend their professional time, both in and out of class; and their perceptions regarding students' educational experiences. *CCFSSE* data not only help participating colleges identify areas of strength, but also enable them to recognize challenges or gaps that may require further consideration. The *CCFSSE* promising practices results displayed below reveal how often full- and part-time faculty members assign selected group learning experiences. For colleges that did not administer *CCFSSE*, 2011-2012 respondent data are provided.

Figure 10: How often during your selected course section do you ASSIGN group learning experiences that REQUIRE students to:




Table 3

	Interact with specific peers DURING class		Interact with specific peers OUTSIDE of class		Study together OUTSIDE of class	
Response	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time
Very often	22.5%	19.0%	4.9%	3.1%	3.3%	2.3%
Often	23.0%	21.2%	8.6%	6.2%	7.5%	5.2%
Sometimes	33.5%	34.4%	32.7%	27.2%	27.3%	22.7%
Never	20.9%	25.4%	53.8%	63.5%	61.9%	69.8%